

Convexity and translational invariance constraint on the exchange-correlation functional.

Daniel Joubert

*Physics Department, University of the Witwatersrand, PO Wits 2050,
Johannesburg, South Africa*

Mel Levy

*Department of Chemistry and Quantum Theory Group, Tulane University, New
Orleans, Louisiana 70118*

Abstract

Knowledge of the properties of the exchange-correlation functional in the form $\frac{1}{\lambda}v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda})$, where $\rho_\lambda(\mathbf{r}) = \lambda^3\rho(\lambda\mathbf{r})$, is important when expressing the exchange-correlation energy as a line integral $E_{xc}[\rho] = \int_0^1 d\lambda \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{1}{\lambda} v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda}) [3\rho(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r})]$ (van Leeuwen and Baerends, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 170 (1995)). With this in mind, it is shown that in the low density limit $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \frac{1}{\lambda} v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda}) d^3r \leq 4\pi \int \rho(\mathbf{r})^2 d^3r$. This inequality is violated in the local-density approximation.

PACS numbers: 31.15.Ew, 71.10.+x, 31.25.-v

According to density functional theory the electronic groundstate energy E_0 can be expressed as

$$E_0 = T_s[\rho] + U[\rho] + E_{xc}[\rho] + \int d\mathbf{r} \rho(\mathbf{r})v(\mathbf{r}) \quad (1)$$

where $v(\mathbf{r})$ is the external potential, $T_s[\rho]$ is the non-interacting kinetic energy, $U[\rho]$ is the classical electron-electron repulsion energy, and $E_{xc}[\rho]$ is the exchange-correlation energy and $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is the charge-density distribution [1–3]. In a recent paper van Leeuwen and Baerends [4] discussed energy expressions in density-functional theory using line integrals. The exchange-correlation energy, for example, can be expressed as

$$E_{xc}[\rho_2] - E_{xc}[\rho_1] = \int_0^1 d\lambda \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\delta E_{xc}[\gamma(\lambda)]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} \frac{d\gamma(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \quad (2)$$

where $\gamma(0) = \rho_1(\mathbf{r})$ and $\gamma(1) = \rho_2(\mathbf{r})$. For practical reasons, it is appealing to choose [4]

$$\gamma(\lambda) = \rho_\lambda(\mathbf{r}) = \lambda^3 \rho(\lambda \mathbf{r}) \quad (3)$$

Levy [5] has shown that in the low density limit, $\lambda \rightarrow 0$,

$$E_c[\rho_\lambda] = \lambda D[\rho] + \dots \quad (4)$$

where $D[\rho]$ is finite and independent of λ . Further, since $E_x[\rho_\lambda] = \lambda E_x[\rho]$ [6] it follows that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} E_{xc}[\rho_\lambda] = 0.$$

With $v_{xc}([\rho], \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\delta E_{xc}[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})}$, the exchange-correlation energy can thus be written as

$$E_{xc}[\rho] = \int_0^1 d\lambda \int d^3r v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda], \mathbf{r}) \left[3\lambda^2 \rho(\lambda \mathbf{r}) + \lambda^3 \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla_{\lambda \mathbf{r}} \rho(\lambda \mathbf{r}) \right] \quad (5)$$

$$= \int_0^1 d\lambda \int d^3r \frac{1}{\lambda} v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda}) [3\rho(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla \rho(\lambda \mathbf{r})]. \quad (6)$$

Also see discussion by van Leeuwen and Baerends below Eq. (20) in Ref. [4].

It is therefore of considerable interest to have information on the behavior of $\frac{1}{\lambda} v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda})$ as a function of λ . In a recent paper Levy and Perdew [7] showed that the functional

$$A[\rho] = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{-1} E_{xc}[\rho_\lambda] + U[\rho] \quad (7)$$

is convex, in other words

$$\int f(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta^2 A[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}) \delta \rho(\mathbf{r}')} f(\mathbf{r}') d^3 r d^3 r' \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

for arbitrary $f(\mathbf{r})$ that satisfies

$$\int f(\mathbf{r}) d^3 r = 0. \quad (9)$$

In particular, for a finite system or for a system where the charge density vanishes at the boundary, $f(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{d\rho(\mathbf{r})}{dx_i}$, ($x_i \equiv x, y, z$) satisfies Eq. (9). Hence

$$\int \frac{d\rho(\mathbf{r})}{dx_i} \frac{\delta^2 A[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}) \delta \rho(\mathbf{r}')} \frac{d\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{dx'_i} d^3 r d^3 r' \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

For any functional $Q[\rho(\mathbf{r})]$ invariant under translation, it follows that $Q[\rho(\mathbf{r})] = Q[\rho(\mathbf{r}+t\mathbf{R})]$ where $\mathbf{R}$ is an arbitrary vector and t a scalar. As a consequence [8]

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{d^2 Q[\rho(\mathbf{r}+t\mathbf{R})]}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \int \mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta^2 A[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}) \delta \rho(\mathbf{r}')} \mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla' \rho(\mathbf{r}') d^3 r d^3 r' + \int \left[\frac{\delta A[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} \right] \mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla [\mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r})] d^3 r. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Now, since $A[\rho]$ is invariant under translational and $\mathbf{R}$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\int \frac{d\rho(\mathbf{r})}{dx_i} \frac{\delta^2 A[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}) \delta \rho(\mathbf{r}')} \frac{d\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{dx'_i} d^3 r d^3 r' = - \int \left[\frac{d^2}{dx_i^2} \frac{\delta A[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} \right] \rho(\mathbf{r}) d^3 r. \quad (12)$$

The convex nature of $A[\rho]$ combined with translational invariance therefore imposes, from Eqs. (10) and (12), a constraint on the first functional derivative of $A[\rho]$:

$$\int \left[\frac{d^2}{dx_i^2} \frac{\delta A[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} \right] \rho(\mathbf{r}) d^3 r \leq 0 \quad (13)$$

Using the expression [9]

$$\frac{\delta E_{xc}[\rho_\lambda]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} = \int \frac{\delta E_{xc}[\rho_\lambda[\rho]]}{\delta \rho_\lambda([\rho]; \mathbf{r}')} \frac{\delta \rho_\lambda([\rho]; \mathbf{r}')}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} d^3 r' \quad (14)$$

$$= \int v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda[\rho]; \mathbf{r}') \lambda^3 \delta(\mathbf{r} - \lambda \mathbf{r}') \quad (15)$$

$$= v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda; \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda}], \quad (16)$$

it follows from the definition of $A[\rho]$ and Eq. (13) that

$$\int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \frac{d^2}{dx_i^2} \left[v_H([\rho], \mathbf{r}) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda}) \right] d^3r \leq 0, \quad (17)$$

where $v_H([\rho], \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\delta U[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} = \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d^3r'$. Eq. (17) imposes a constraint on $\frac{1}{\lambda} v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda})$ in the low density limit.

Substituting ∇^2 for $\frac{d^2}{dx_i^2}$ Eq. (17) is also valid and the constraint can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \left[v_H([\rho], \mathbf{r}) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda}) \right] d^3r \\ &= -4\pi \int \rho(\mathbf{r})^2 d^3r + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \frac{1}{\lambda} v_{xc}([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda}) d^3r \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

If we separate the correlation and exchange potentials, and take into account that $v_x([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda}) = \lambda v_x([\rho], \mathbf{r})$ [10] Eqs. (17) and (18) may be written as

$$\int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \frac{d^2}{dx_i^2} \left[v_H([\rho], \mathbf{r}) + v_x([\rho], \mathbf{r}) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} v_c([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda}) \right] d^3r \leq 0, \quad (19)$$

and

$$\int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \left[v_x([\rho], \mathbf{r}) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} v_c([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda}) \right] d^3r \leq 4\pi \int \rho(\mathbf{r})^2 d^3r, \quad (20)$$

respectively. In the special case of two electrons, $v_x([\rho], \mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2} v_H([\rho], \mathbf{r})$. Hence, for this special case the constraint on v_c alone is

$$\int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} v_c([\rho_\lambda], \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\lambda}) d^3r \leq 2\pi \int \rho(\mathbf{r})^2 d^3r$$

In the local density approximation

$$A^{LDA}[\rho] = -|c| \int \rho(\mathbf{r})^{\frac{4}{3}} d^3r + U[\rho], \quad (21)$$

Eq. (18) requires that

$$-4\pi \int \rho(\mathbf{r})^2 d^3r - \frac{4}{3} |c| \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r})^{\frac{1}{3}} d^3r \leq 0. \quad (22)$$

This condition is easily violated. For example, with $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \chi_m (1 + r)^m e^{-r}$, with χ_m a normalization constant, the value of $|c|$ for which Eq. (22) is violated for can be made arbitrarily small by increasing the power m . Eq. (17) thus imposes a non-trivial constraint on the exchange-correlation potential.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964); W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).
- [2] R. G. Parr and W. Yang, *Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (Oxford University Press, New York 1989).
- [3] R. M. Dreizler and E. K. U. Gross, *Density Functional Theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1990).
- [4] R. van Leeuwen and E. J. Baerends, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 170 (1995).
- [5] M. Levy, Phys. Rev. A **43**, 4637 (1991).
- [6] M. Levy and J. P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. A **32**, 2010 (1985).
- [7] M. Levy and J. P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 11638 (1993).
- [8] D. P. Joubert, Int. J. Quantum Chem. (Proceedings of the 6th international conference on the application of density functional theory in chemistry and physics) (submitted).
- [9] M. Levy and A. Görling, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 2851 (1995).
- [10] H. Ou-Yang and M. Levy, Phys. Rev. A **44**, 54 (1991).